

www.avocetta.org

Editor-in-Chief: Prof. Roberto Ambrosini

VOLUME 44, Issue 2 – December 2020

EARLY VIEW articles

Freshly accepted papers have a doi number assigned and are published online in the 'Early view' mode to fasten publication time.

DOI:

In this pdf:

<https://doi.org/10.30456/AVO.2020206>

Combined use of radar and mist net trapping to detect species composition of nocturnal migrants

Authors: Ivan Maggini, Giuseppe Cortone, Carlo Catoni and Giacomo Dell'Omo

Combined use of radar and mist net trapping to detect species composition of nocturnal migrants

Ivan Maggini¹, Giuseppe Cortone², Carlo Catoni³ and Giacomo Dell'Omo³

¹Konrad-Lorenz Institute of Ethology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Wien, Austria

²Ecologia Applicata Italia, Palermo, Italy

³*Ornis Italica*, Rome, Italy

I.M. ORCID: 0000-0002-1528-2288

C.C. ORCID: 0000-0001-8355-2529

G.D.O. ORCID: 0000-0002-9601-9675

Short title: Spring nocturnal migrants at the Strait of Messina

Abstract

The Strait of Messina, in southern Italy, is a well-known migration hotspot for diurnal migrants such as raptors. Radar studies have also shown that there is a considerable rate of nocturnal passage of migrants during spring migration. During the spring season 2015, we combined mist net trapping with radar observations at a site on the Calabrian side of the Strait, to characterize the species composition of nocturnally migrating passerines, and to investigate the relationship between the numbers of birds flying over and the ones stopping at the study site. Despite the radar counted nearly four millions tracks of birds flying overhead, only 242 individuals were captured with mist nets during the same period. Of these, only 70 (28.9 %) were potential migrants. There was no correlation between the number of daily captures and the number of tracks recorded by radar on the previous night. The application of radar observations clearly shows that a large proportion of passing migrants may go undetected when relying on counts of birds at a watchpoint for diurnal observations only. The Strait of Messina seems to be a passage site for nocturnally migrating birds including songbirds. However, most favourable stopover sites for these birds might be found further South, possibly not far from our study site.

Keywords: stopover, Strait of Messina, spring migration

35 **Introduction**

36 During spring migration, nocturnal passerines that migrate from Africa to Europe have to cross the
37 Mediterranean Sea during their northward journey. Since this is a major ecological barrier that offers no
38 chances to rest, birds usually gather at coastal sites and small islands in large numbers after the crossing
39 to recover from the flight (Spina et al. 1993, Pilastro et al. 1998). At these sites, the numbers of passing
40 migrants can easily be monitored by catching the birds during their stopover with standard methods, as
41 e.g. mist-netting. In Italy, migration monitoring is carried out as part of an ongoing program which
42 coordinates the simultaneous bird netting at several locations, that has been running for over 30 years
43 (Spina et al. 1993). Data from this project indicate that the largest numbers of birds are using islands of
44 the central/southern Tyrrhenian Sea as stopover sites, indicating that these birds might take a direct
45 route of several hundreds of km across the Mediterranean after departing from the North African coast
46 in Tunisia. This route is confirmed by several ring recoveries (Spina & Volponi 2008).

47 An alternative for birds to avoid such a long flight over the sea is a detour over Sicily, that allows the
48 birds to reduce the distance flown over the sea to about 160 km and to perform most of their flight over
49 land. There is evidence from Sicilian islands that good numbers of birds might choose this route (Spina
50 et al. 1993), but data are lacking from the Sicilian mainland to locate additional important stopover sites.

51 If birds follow the Sicilian flyway, the Strait of Messina, located between Sicily and mainland Italy,
52 should act as a bottleneck for migration and is an obligate passage point. It is known to be passed by
53 large numbers of soaring diurnal migrants during spring migration (Agostini et al. 1994, Panuccio
54 2011), but the intensity of nocturnal migration has not been investigated so far. If nocturnal migration
55 through the Strait of Messina would involve large numbers, there is a possibility of it being used as a
56 stopover site as well.

57 We investigated the role of the Strait of Messina as both a corridor for nocturnal migration and as
58 stopover site for passerine migrants. A preliminary study conducted in 2014 revealed that the area is
59 interested by the passage of nocturnal migrants, likely passerines, but no information is available on
60 what are the species involved (unpubl. data). During one season of spring migration, we combined radar
61 observations to quantify the passage and mist-netting during the day, to characterize species
62 composition and compare the number of birds stopping over with those flying overhead. We expected to
63 find a correlation between the number of migrants passing through the night and the number of captures
64 on the following day, as observed in previous studies at stopover sites (Zehnder & Karlsson 2001,
65 Simons et al. 2004, Peckford & Taylor 2008, Komenda-Zehnder et al. 2010, Horton et al. 2016).

66 **Methods**

67 The work was carried out between April 15th and May 15th, 2015, during the period of migration of several
68 long-distance Afrotropical-Palearctic migrants. The field station was on Piano Scapolella (Solano, RC,

hereafter called "Aspromonte"; 38° 23'N, 15° 79' E) at the edge of a flat highland (the Aspromonte plateau) at about 1000 metres above sea level (Fig. 1A), on the eastern side of the Strait of Messina. The radar was positioned near the edge of the plateau and the bird netting took place with 400 m distance from the radar instrumentation.

Radar equipment. We used a 10 kW, X-band radar (9.1 GHz), with a 2.16 m antenna set vertically and rotating at 38 rounds per minute (GEM, Italy). The radar operated in long pulse mode (200 ns and PRF 1000Hz). This type of radar can detect bird movements in any weather condition except during rain. The radar range was approximately 1.2 kilometres long and the detection area was limited to a 180° sector above ground (to reduce clutter) and oriented from East to West, perpendicular to the direction of the migratory flow (which is South-North to Southwest-Northeast, pers. obs.), while the remaining sector was blank. The perpendicular setup was chosen because the aim was counting the number of echoes crossing the radar beam rather than identifying flight direction and speed of migrating birds. Since the density and frequency of the echoes and the RCS were similar to visually detected daily migrants of the size of martins or bee-eaters (pers. obs.), we confirmed that the tracks recorded were most likely of migrating birds. Radar measurements were carried out continuously every night during the study period and interrupted only by the onset of heavy precipitation (on eight nights during the study period). We recorded screenshots with the radar echoes every second and compiled 1-hour periods as videos. We then processed the videos with the radR 2.5.1 package in the R software (Taylor et al. 2010). We used radR to calculate the number and length of the tracks of echoes recorded by the radar. To exclude disturbance caused by insects (which are usually detected by the radar only at closer range and for shorter time than birds) we ignored (i) tracks shorter than 200 metres, (ii) tracks with less than four subsequent echoes, (iii) all tracks within 300 m from the radar, and (iv) tracks characterized by a ground-speed lower than 30 km/h and higher than 100 km/h (Bruderer & Boldt 2001, Schmaljohann et al. 2008, Kemp et al. 2010, McLaren et al. 2012, Nilsson et al. 2018). We also documented flight altitudes of 121,046 traces of nocturnal migrants recorded between April 30th and May 25th, 2015. These traces were selected for being within 200 m left to 200 m right from the radar position to ensure that the altitude estimate was based on a vertical distance.

Mist netting. Birds were captured using mist-nets every day from one hour before sunrise until sunset and checked every hour. We deployed 240 m of mist-nets of 2.4 m height and interrupted capture only during precipitation and strong winds which occurred on two days (April 23rd and April 27th) during the study period. After capture, birds were measured using standard methods (Bairlein 1995), ringed with an aluminium ring and immediately released.

Data analysis. We quantified the intensity of nocturnal passage by calculating the average number of radar tracks per minute during the night. Averaging was necessary since in some nights (n= 6) the radar did not operate continuously. We also considered the hourly total of tracks throughout the season to identify the peak time of passage. Since radR has the tendency to split tracks into more than one unit, thus overestimating the number of passing individuals (Nilsson et al. 2018), we reported the relative number of radar tracks as a

105 measure of passage intensity. To calculate this, we standardized the average number of tracks per minute in a
106 given night by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation. We correlated relative radar
107 track number with the number of birds captured on the next day using linear regression, excluding recaptures
108 that had been present at the site for longer than one day. Many species might have been local breeders, and
109 as such not representative of the migratory flow. We excluded from the analysis all species that are known to
110 be sedentary or to migrate earlier than our study period (following phenology data from Spina & Volponi
111 2008). All analyses were performed using R 3.4.3 (R Core Team 2017).

112 **Results**

113 3,808,745 tracks were recorded by radar during one month of observations (N = 29 nights, on two nights the
114 radar did not operate because of adverse weather). On average, this amounted to 209 tracks/minute. The
115 night with the highest intensity of migration was April 19th, while the night with the lowest intensity was
116 May 12th. The daily relative number of radar tracks is shown in Fig. 1B. The hourly distribution of tracks
117 shows that nocturnal passage peaked at the beginning of the night and then decreased constantly (Fig. 1C).
118 The majority of traces was recorded around 400 m altitude above the radar (i.e. ~1400 m asl, Fig. 1D).
119 During the same period, 242 individuals were captured with mist nets. Of these, only 70 (28.9 %) were
120 potential migrants (Tab. 1). There was no significant relationship between the number of daily captures and
121 the number of tracks recorded by radar on the previous night ($R^2 = 0.011$, $p = 0.62$; Fig. 2).

122 **Discussion**

123 Nocturnal migrants were passing our study area in large numbers, as shown by the radar tracks. In
124 peak nights of passage, we recorded over 400,000 tracks in the small area covered by the radar.
125 Although this might be an overestimate of the real number of passing birds (Nilsson et al. 2018), the
126 night with most intense passage had over 100-fold numbers compared to the night with the lowest
127 passage. This shows that the Strait of Messina lies along a commonly used migratory flyway. Radar
128 observations at other sites in the Mediterranean, such as the small islands in the Tyrrhenian Sea,
129 would provide information about the fraction of the migrant population that is actually using each
130 flyway. There is some consensus that spring migration occurs on a broader front than autumn
131 migration (Nilsson et al. 2014), but this is mostly based on observations of single tracked
132 individuals and on the fact that the central Mediterranean hosts larger numbers of migrants in spring
133 than in autumn (Moreau 1961, Grattarola et al. 1999). The latter does not exclude, however, that the
134 birds follow different flyways than in the autumn, but without spreading over a broader front of
135 migration.

136 Despite the large number of birds flying through the area, only a very small fraction was observed
137 stopping over at the study site. Most birds were flying 400 or more meters above the ground, which

138 shows that they were performing migratory flights. Given the very low number of birds caught
139 during the mist netting and the lack of relationships with the echoes detected by the radar it is clear
140 that our study area has little importance as a stopover site for nocturnal migrants. The migratory
141 conditions at the Strait of Messina are very different and therefore hard to compare to those related
142 to the observations carried out e.g. on an Alpine pass (Komenda-Zehnder et al. 2010).
143 Unfortunately, we also were not able to compare the migration traffic rate (MTR) to other studies
144 conducted in the Mediterranean (e.g. Bruderer & Liechti 1999), because the radar systems used
145 were of a different type.

146 Our data suggest that the habitat around our study site might not have been suitable for stopover.
147 The study site is located at a relatively high elevation and stopover sites are available along the
148 Calabrian coast. During spring migration, birds might not be highly selective of habitats, especially
149 when performing only a short stopover before progressing with their journey (Biebach et al. 1986,
150 Schmaljohann et al. 2007). Therefore, they might simply pick locations that are easier to reach.
151 Alternatively, migrants would not stop in this area because it is too close to their last stopover.
152 Nocturnal migrants observed by radar might also include non-passerine birds such as waders or
153 swifts (Newton 2010), which would be less likely to use the study site as stopover. However,
154 although the proportion of non-passerine birds in the aerial traffic over the radar station was not
155 known, a previous study on an Alpine pass reported that trapping numbers were strongly correlated
156 with migratory intensities measured by radar (Komenda-Zehnder et al. 2010). Therefore, we
157 expected to find a similar correlation in our study, which was not the case, probably for the reasons
158 stated above. The peak of passage during the study season was in the early part of the night.
159 Assuming that nocturnal migrants start their flights around sunset (Alerstam 1990), an early peak of
160 passage indicates that their last stopover was at a rather close distance. In our study system, this
161 suggests that the birds flying over the Strait of Messina did, indeed, choose the Sicilian flyway to
162 cross the Mediterranean Sea. Future studies might reveal whether these birds belong to different
163 species or populations (i.e. directed towards different destinations) to the ones found crossing the
164 sea through the more direct flyway to the Tyrrhenian islands (Spina et al. 1993), or whether birds
165 from the same populations might choose to use different flyways depending on endogenous (body
166 condition, experience) or exogenous (weather) factors.

167 The use of radar observations clearly shows that a large proportion of passing migrants may go
168 undetected when relying on counts of birds at a trapping site or on diurnal observations only. The
169 Strait of Messina seems to be an important site funneling a large number of nocturnal migratory
170 birds but our study site, despite being an optimal location for radar studies, was not suitable as a

171 stopover location. For this reason, unfortunately the species identity of these birds could not be
172 determined. Rapid advances in radar technology and in analytical tools might improve this in the
173 future (Chen 2015), and the analysis of data from networks of ringing stations (such as the Progetto
174 Piccole Isole in the Mediterranean, Spina et al. 1993, Pilastro et al. 1998) combined with radar sites
175 (such as the ENRAM project, Shamoun-Baranes et al. 2014) will provide a broad-scale
176 characterization of the flow of migrants across the large barrier of the Mediterranean Sea and at a
177 continental scale.

178 **Acknowledgements**

179 This work was carried out in the context of a study for monitoring raptor migration near a new
180 electric power line, commissioned by Terna Rete Italia SPA to *Ornis italica*. We thank Viviana
181 Stanzione for the help with radar operation and data analysis; Manuela Policastrese, Eugenio
182 Muscianese and Giuseppe Martino for their help in the field with bird trapping.

183 **References**

- 184 Agostini N., Malara G., Neri F., Mollicone D. & Melotto S. 1994. Flight strategies of Honey
185 Buzzards during spring migration across the Central Mediterranean. *Avocetta* 18: 73-76.
- 186 Alerstam T. 1990. Bird migration. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 187 Bairlein F. 1995. Manual of field methods, revised edition. In: European-African Songbird
188 Migration Network. Wilhelmshaven, Germany.
- 189 Biebach H. Friedrich W. & Heine G. 1986. Interaction of bodymass, fat, foraging and stopover
190 period in trans-Saharan migrating passerine birds. *Oecologia* 69: 370-379.
- 191 Bruderer B. & Boldt A. 2001. Flight characteristics of birds: I. radar measurements of speeds. *Ibis*
192 143: 178-204.
- 193 Bruderer B. & Liechti F. 1999. Bird migration across the Mediterranean. In: Adams N.J. & Slotow
194 R.H. (eds.), Proc. 22 Int. Ornithol. Congr., Durban: 1983-1999. Johannesburg: BirdLife South
195 Africa.
- 196 Chen V.C. 2015. Advances in applications of radar micro-Doppler signatures. In: Antenna
197 Measurements & Applications (CAMA), 2014 IEEE Conference. pp. 1-4.
- 198 Grattarola A., Spina F. & Pilastro A. 1999. Spring migration of the Garden Warbler (*Sylvia borin*)
199 across the Mediterranean Sea. *J. Ornithol.* 140: 419-430.

200 Horton K.G., Shriver W.G. & Buler J.J. 2016. An assessment of spatio-temporal relationships
201 between nocturnal bird migration traffic rates and diurnal bird stopover density. *Mov. Ecol.* 4: 1.

202 Kemp U.M., Shamoun-Baranes J., van Gasteren H., Bouten W. & van Loon E.E. 2010. Can wind
203 help explain seasonal differences in avian migration speed? *J. Avian Biol.* 41: 672-677.

204 Komenda-Zehnder, S., Jenni, L. & Liechti, F. 2010. Do bird captures reflect migration intensity? –
205 Trapping numbers on an Alpine pass compared with radar counts. *J. Avian Biol.* 41: 434-444.

206 McLaren J., Shamoun-Baranes J. & Bouten W. 2012. Wind selectivity and partial compensation for
207 wind drift among nocturnally migrating passerines. *Behav. Ecol.* 23: 1089-1101.

208 Moreau R. E. 1961. Problems of Mediterranean-Saharan migration. *Ibis* 103a: 580-623.

209 Newton I. 2010. The migration ecology of birds. Elsevier, Academic Press.

210 Nilsson C., Bäckman J. & Alerstam T. 2014. Are flight paths of nocturnal songbird migrants
211 influenced by local coastlines at a peninsula? *Curr. Zool.* 60: 660-669.

212 Nilsson C., Dokter A.M., Schmid B., Scacco M., [...] & Liechti F. 2018. Field validation of radar
213 systems for monitoring bird migration. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 55: 2552-2564.

214 Panuccio M. 2011. Wind effects on visible raptor migration at the Strait of Messina, Southern Italy.
215 *J. Raptor Res.* 45: 88-92.

216 Peckford M. L. & Taylor P. D. 2008. Within night correlations between radar and ground counts of
217 migrating songbirds. *J. Field Ornithol.* 79: 207-214.

218 Pilastro A., Macchio S., Massi A., Montemaggiori A. & Spina F. 1998. Spring migratory routes of
219 eight trans-Saharan passerines through the central and western Mediterranean; results from a
220 network of insular and coastal ringing sites. *Ibis* 140: 591-598.

221 R Core Team. 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
222 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.

223 Schmaljohann H., Liechti F. & Bruderer B. 2007. Songbird migration across the Sahara: the non-
224 stop hypothesis rejected! *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 274: 735-739.

225 Schmaljohann H., Liechti F., Bächler E., Steuri T. & Bruderer B. 2008. Quantification of bird
226 migration by radar – a detection probability problem. *Ibis* 150: 342-355.

227 Shamoun-Baranes J., Alves J. A., Bauer S., Dokter A. M., [...] & Chapman J. W. 2014.
 228 Continental-scale monitoring of the aerial movements of animals. *Mov. Ecol.* 2: 9.

229 Simons T. R., Moore F. R. & Gauthreaux S. A. 2004. Mist-netting trans-gulf migrants at coastal
 230 stopover sites: the influence of spatial and temporal variability on capture data. *St. Avian Biol.* 29:
 231 135-143.

232 Spina F., Massi A., Montemaggiori A. & Baccetti N. 1993. Spring migration across central
 233 Mediterranean: general results from the “Progetto Piccole Isole”. *Vogelwarte* 37 (suppl.): 1-94.


234 Spina F. & Volponi S. 2008. Atlante della migrazione degli uccelli in Italia. 2. Passeriformi.
 235 Ministero dell’Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Istituto Superiore per la Ricerca e
 236 la Protezione Ambientale (ISPRA). Tipografia SCR-Roma.

237 Taylor P. D., Brzustowski, J. M., Matkovich, C., Peckford, M. L. & Wilson, D. 2010. radR: an
 238 open-source platform for acquiring and analysing data on biological targets observed by
 239 surveillance radar. *BMC Ecol.* 10: 22.


240 Zehnder S. & Karlsson L. 2001. Do ringing numbers reflect true migratory activity of nocturnal
 241 migrants? *J. Ornithol.* 142: 173-183.

242

243 **Figure 1.** A) Geographic location of the study site. The radar and mist-netting stations are indicated
 244 by the asterisk; B) Relative number of radar tracks per night recorded at Piano Scapolella between
 245 April 30th and May 25th, 2015. Data were not recorded on two nights: May 1st and May 4th; C)
 246 Hourly number of radar tracks averaged over all nights in the study period, excluding those when
 247 the radar was not operating (N = 29); D) Altitudinal distribution of radar tracks over Piano
 248 Scapolella, averaged over all nights in the study period, excluding those when the radar was not
 249 operating (N = 29). The zero altitude refers to the position of the radar, which was at approximately
 250 1000 m asl.



252 **Figure 2.** Number of migrant birds trapped plotted against the relative number of tracks recorded on
253 the previous night. We show a regression line with standard error range.



255
256

Table 1. Number of captures per species during one month of mist-netting. Migrant species are shown in bold.

Species	Total captures
Cirl Bunting <i>Emberiza cirlus</i>	32
Whitethroat <i>Sylvia communis</i>	23
Stonechat <i>Saxicola torquata</i>	18
Linnet <i>Carduelis cannabina</i>	16
Rock Bunting <i>Emberiza cia</i>	16
Blackcap <i>Sylvia atricapilla</i>	15
Blackbird <i>Turdus merula</i>	15
Whinchat <i>Saxicola rubetra</i>	14
Red-backed Shrike <i>Lanius collurio</i>	13
Greenfinch <i>Chloris chloris</i>	11
Great Tit <i>Parus major</i>	10
Italian Sparrow <i>Passer italiae</i>	9
Corn Bunting <i>Miliaria calandra</i>	7
Wryneck <i>Jynx torquilla</i>	7
Sardinian Warbler <i>Sylvia melanocephala</i>	6
Chiffchaff <i>Phylloscopus collybita</i>	4
Pied Flycatcher <i>Ficedula hypoleuca</i>	3
Garden Warbler <i>Sylvia borin</i>	3
Wren <i>Troglodytes troglodytes</i>	3

Coal Tit <i>Periparus ater</i>	2
Blue Tit <i>Cyanistes caeruleus</i>	2
Firecrest <i>Regulus ignicapillus</i>	2
Robin <i>Erithacus rubecula</i>	2
Tree Pipit <i>Anthus trivialis</i>	2
Hoopoe <i>Upupa epops</i>	2
Northern Wheatear <i>Oenanthe oenanthe</i>	1
Chaffinch <i>Fringilla coelebs</i>	1
Meadow Pipit <i>Anthus pratensis</i>	1
Short-toed Treecreeper <i>Certhia brachydactyla</i>	1
Subalpine Warbler <i>Sylvia cantillans</i>	1

257

258